IMPROVING PETAL, SEED AND OIL YIELDS OF SAFFLOWER USING MELAGROW, GIBBERELLIC ACID AND CYTOKININ Hamza, M. Agronomy Department, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Giza, Egypt Corresponding author email: mohamedhamza@agr.cu.edu.eg

ABSTRACT

Safflower seed yield is generally lower than that of other oil-seed crops. So for this reason, it is necessary to increase safflower yield. Information regarding the effect of growth regulators and its suitable concentration on growth and yield of safflower is very limited. Therefore, two field experiments were conducted at the Desert Experimental Station in Wadi El-Natroon, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons. Three growth regulators i.e., Melagrow, gibberellic acid, cytokinin were applied at three different concentrations viz., 20, 40 and 60 ppm, as well as, the control treatment of distilled water. The results revealed that all safflower traits were significantly affected by the tested growth regulators, except seed index and seed-oil %. Foliar application of the growth promoter Melagrow gave higher values for all studied traits compare with GA3 and Cytokinin, except plant height and linoleic%. Increase concentration of growth regulators from 0 up to 60 ppm significantly increased petal yield plant¹ by 48.3% and 37.1%, seed yield fed¹ by 26.4% and 21.3%, as well as, oil yield fed⁻¹ by 29.4% and 27.5% in the first and second seasons, respectively. Quality traits, in terms of carthamin%, carthamidin%, oleic%, and oleic/linoleic ratio were significantly increased by increasing levels of growth regulators in both seasons. The highest values of such traits were obtained at 60 ppm level. The interaction effect between growth regulators and concentration on number of capitula plant⁻¹, seed weight plant⁻¹, petal yield plant⁻¹, seed and oil yields fed⁻¹ was significant in both seasons. Spraying Giza-1 cultivar with Melagrow at 40 or 60 ppm four times was recommended for improving yields and its quality.

Keywords: Carthamus tinctorius L.; Growth regulators; Oleic; Linoleic; Oil-seed; Carthamin; Carthamidin.

NTRODUCTION

Safflower has been grown commercially as one of the oldest oil-seed crops. Safflower is mainly used for edible oil (rich in linoleic and/or oleic acids), and for natural dyes (carthamin and carthamidin) as a source of yellow and red dyes for food and clothing (Weiss, 2000). Also, safflower oil is use for cosmetic, soaps, varnishes and paints (Hameed, 2002). Safflower is more drought and salt tolerant than some other oil-seed crops. So it is suitable for the newly reclaimed soils where other oil-seed crops are difficult to grow (Hamza, 2014).

Gupta and Gupta (2005) cleared that phytohormones are organic substances produced naturally in higher plants and control growth or other physiological functions such as the gibberellins; that regulate protein synthesis and stem elongation and cytokinin; that control organ differentiation. Synthetic compounds that act like natural phytohormones are called plant growth regulators. The application of growth regulators in low concentration regulates growth, differentiation and development of safflower, either by promotion or inhibition (Dholekar *et al.*, 2001), allows physiological processes to occur at their normal rate in soya (Gulluoglu, 2004) and effect on growth in mustard (Akter *et al.*, 2007). Major plant growth regulators significantly improved floral buds in Jojoba (Prat *et al.*, 2008).

 GA_3 is a very potent hormone and it has many effects regulating various physiological processes including seed germination, shoot growth, flowering, floral development and fruit set. Khan *et al.* (1998) reported that foliar application of gibberellic acid at the pre-flowering stage of mustard plants caused 35.5 % increase in leaf area, which apparently enhances dry matter. Baydar (2002) cleared that treating safflower plants by GA_3 had less oil content than the non- GA_3 treated plants. Khandagale *et al.* (2009) found that foliar application of GA_3 at 200 ppm recorded higher number of capitula plant⁻¹ and 100-seed weight of safflower.

Cytokinin stimulates leaf expansion, development of reproductive organs and delays senescence (Mok, 1994). Cytokinins have been used for increasing yield and oil contents of oil seed crops (Rijavec and Dermastia, 2010). Ullah and Bano (2011) used kinetin at rate of 10⁻⁵M during safflower flowering (140 day after sowing) as foliar application. They found that kinetin was highly effective in increasing achen yield, 100-achen weight. Kinetin also was highly effective in increasing oleic acid (C18:1) but decreasing the content of linoleic acid (C18:2).

Melagrow is natural growth promoters extracted from pollen grain of cabbage flowers. It promotes growth, increase yield, improves quality, increase percentage of fruit setting, promotes formation of flower buds and resist flower and fruit dropping. Melagrow is combined effect of auxins, gibberellins, cytokinin, ethylene and hydrogen cyanamid. Its chemical composition is 20% phosphorus, 10% potassium, 3% boron and 0.2% brassinoliods (Attia *et al.*, 2011 and Seadh *et al.*, 2012). Foliar application with Melagrow at rate of 50 ppm twice after 30 and 70 days from sowing significantly recorded the highest values of safflower plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹ and petal yield fed⁻¹ (Attia *et al.*, 2011). Seadh *et al.* (2012) found that the highest values of safflower number of capitula plant⁻¹, 100-seed weight and seed yield fed⁻¹ were obtained also by application of Melagrow at rate of 50 ppm.

The objective of this study was to determine the effect of growth regulators viz., Melagrow, GA₃ and cytokinin with different concentrations as foliar application on petal, seed and oil yields of Giza-1 cv. grown under newly reclaimed sandy soil conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at Wadi El-Natroon, Desert Experimental Station, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ. under drip irrigation system in 2012/13 and 2013/14 seasons. This station is located between 30°32'30" and 30°33'0" N and between 29°57'15" and 29°58'15" E with an altitude of 45

meters. Safflower was preceded by sesame and sunflower in the first and second seasons, respectively. Soil properties of the experimental field are presented in Table (1). This analysis in Table (1) indicates that soil was sandy, saline and poor in nutrients, as well as, organic matter.

2013/14 Seasons.		
	2012/ 2013	2013/ 2014
Physical properties		
Sand %	93.15	94.85
Silt %	3.85	3.00
Clay %	3.00	2.15
Soil texture	Sandy	Sandy
Chemical properties		
pH	7.80	7.95
Ec (dS/m)	5.33	5.25
Na (mq/l)	36.23	34.24
Cl (mq/l)	32.44	32.24
Organic matter (%)	0.30	0.28
Total CaCO ₃ %	3.10	2.55
N (mg kg ⁻¹)	9.63	8.78
P (mg kg ⁻¹)	4.5	3.6
K (mg kg ⁻¹)	80	70

Table 1. Soil properties of experimental field during 2012/13 and 2013/14 seasons

Each experiment included 12 treatments which were the combination of 3 growth regulators (Melagrow, gibberellic acid and cytokinin) and 3 concentrations (20, 40 and 60 ppm) of each one, as well as, the control treatment with distilled water. The growth regulators were repeted four times at 60, 90, 120 and 150 days after sowing as a foliar application. The commercial growth regulator gibberellic acid 10% ($C_{19}H_{22}O_6$) was obtained by Elahlia Company. Cytokinin (kinetin, $C_{10}H_9N_{50}$) was obtained by Sigma Company. Melagrow was imported by Green India Export Company. The experimental design was a split plot with three replications. Growth regulators were assigned to the main plots and concentrations of growth regulators to sub-plots. Each sub-plot consisted of five rows 4.0 m in length, 0.60 m in width, and its area was 12 m². Seeds were sown in hills 10 cm apart on 15th October in both seasons. After 3 weeks from planting, plants were thinned to one plant/hill.

Nitrogen was added at rate of 60 kg N/fed in form of ammonium nitrates (33.5% N) in 5 equal splits, the first was applied after thinning at 21 days from sowing and the rest splits were added at a 14-day interval. Phosphorous in form of super phosphate (15.5% P_2O_5) at rate of 30 Kg P_2O_5 /fed was added before sowing and during soil preparation. Potassium in form of potassium sulfate (48% K_2O) was added at rate of 48 Kg K_2O /fed in five equal splits with the doses of N. Mixture of micronutrients was also sprayed, four times, as a foliar application after thinning at 21-day intervals. Other cultural practice procedures were done as recommended.

At harvest, ten plants from each sub-plot were taken at random where the following characters were recorded: plant height (cm), number of branches plant⁻¹, number of capitula plant⁻¹, seed yield plant⁻¹ (g), seed index (100-seed weight, g) except, petal yield plant⁻¹ (g) was collected after fertilization. Seed yield fed⁻¹ (kg) was weighed from the whole area of each sub-plot and adjusted to yield per feddan (4200 m²). Oil percentage was determined in seeds of each treatment according to AOAC (2000) using Soxthelt apparatus. Oil yield fed⁻¹ (kg) was calculated by multiplying seed-oil percentage by seed yield fed⁻¹. Oleic and linoleic fatty acids were separated according to Vogel (1975) and identified by Gas Liquids Chromatography, Trace GC Ultra, Thermo Scientific (GLC) apparatus according to Farag *et al.* (1981). The water-soluble yellow dye, carthamidin, and the water-insoluble red dye, carthamin, which is readily soluble in alkali, can be obtained from safflower petal according to method of assay by FAO (1997).

Data were statistically analyzed according to procedures described by Steel *et al.* (1997) using MSTAT-C computer package (Freed *et al.* 1989). The treatment means were compared by least significant difference (LSD) at 5% level of probability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of growth regulators:

An apparent association seems to exist between growth regulators and safflower traits. Plant height, number of branches and capitula plant⁻¹, petal and seed yield plant⁻¹, as well as, ultimately enhanced seed and oil yields fed⁻¹ were significantly affected by growth regulators in both seasons (Table 2). These results, in general, it may be explain by "source to sink" theory which was promoted by growth regulators. The tallest plants were obtained by GA_3 application. This result may be due to the ability of GA_3 to elongate the stem especially certain dwarf and rosette types. These results are in accordance with Ullah and Bano (2011).

Spraying Melagrow surpassed GA_3 and cytokinin and resulted in increasing values of number of branches and capitula plant⁻¹, petal and seed yield plant⁻¹, as well as, seed and oil yields fed⁻¹. The increased branching led to the increase of capitula number plant⁻¹. The increase in number of capitula plant⁻¹ may be resulted in the increase of petal yield plant⁻¹ and seed yield plant⁻¹. On the other hand, Khandagale *et al.* (2009) found that foliar application of GA_3 at 200 ppm recorded higher number of capitula plant⁻¹ and 100-seed weight. Such increase in seed yield fad⁻¹ may be attributed to the considerable increase in number of branches plant⁻¹ and number of capitula plant⁻¹ and seed yield plant⁻¹.

Considerable increase of oil yield fed⁻¹ may be due to increases in seed yield fed⁻¹. Attia *et al.* (2011) and Seadh *et al.* (2012) explain the increase of safflower plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹ and petal yield plant⁻¹ by foliar application with Melagrow twice because it contains P, K, B and brassinolide may be due to the role of macro and micronutrients in activating physiological processes.

Also, carthamin%, carthamidin%, oleic%, linoleic%, and oleic/linoleic ratio were significantly affected by growth regulators in both seasons (Table 2). The application of Melagrow was significantly recorded higher values of carthamin%, carthamidin%, oleic% and oleic/linoleic ratio. But the highest percentage of linoleic acid was recorded by GA_3 and the lowest percentage was obtained by cytokinin application. The same trend was observed by Ullah and Bano (2011) who reported that kinetin was highly effective in increasing oleic acid but decreased the content of linoleic acid.

Effect of concentration of growth regulators:

Results in Table (3) show that safflower yields and all of its attributes except, number of branches plant⁻¹ and seed-oil% were significantly affected by concentration of growth regulators in both seasons. A gradual increase in plant height, number of capitula plant⁻¹, petal yield plant⁻¹, seed yield plant⁻¹, seed index, as well as, seed and oil yields fed⁻¹ as concentration of growth regulators increased up to 60 ppm. Increase concentration of growth regulators from 0 up to 60 ppm significantly increased petal yield plant⁻¹ by 48.3% and 37.1%, seed yield fed⁻¹ by 26.4% and 21.3%, as well as, oil yield fed⁻¹ by 29.4% and 27.5% in the first and second seasons, respectively. Such increase in seed yield fed⁻¹ may be due to the increase in number of capitula plant⁻¹, seed yield plant⁻¹ and seed index. Also, oil yield fed⁻¹ may be attributed to increases in seed yield fed⁻¹.

Among various doses of growth regulators the dose of 60 ppm was found to be the most effective dose in increasing safflower quality traits, in terms of carthamin%, carthamidin%, oleic%, and oleic/linoleic ratio in both seasons (Table 3). Conversely, the control treatment recorded the highest percentage of linoleic. Baydar (2000) reported that oil synthesis increases with increasing dose of GA_3 in safflower. From the nutritional point of view, safflower oil is in the top of stable vegetable oils. This view may be due to its higher ratio of oleic/linoleic which resulted by concentrations of 40 and 60 ppm.

Effect of the interaction between growth regulator and concentration:

Results in Table (4) indicate that, number of capitula plant⁻¹, petal yield plant⁻¹, seed yield plant⁻¹, seed and oil yields fed⁻¹ were significantly affected by the interaction between growth regulators and its concentrations in both seasons.

Hamza, M.

2-3

during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.											
Characters											
Plant height	ns	ns									
No. of branches plant	ns	ns									
No. of capitula plant	*	*									
Petal yield plant	*	*									
Seed index	ns	ns									
Seed yield plant	*	*									
Seed yield fed	*	*									
Seed-oil %	ns	ns									
Oil yield fed ⁻	*	*									
Carthamin %	ns	ns									
Carthamidin %	ns	ns									
Oleic %	ns	ns									
Linoleic %	ns	ns									
Oleic/linoleic ratio	ns	ns									

Table 4.	Significance of some	safflower characters as affected by the	
	interaction between	growth regulators and concentrations	5
	during 2012/2013 and	2013/2014 seasons.	

Results in Figure (1) reveal that a gradual increases in number of capitula plant⁻¹ via increasing concentration level of Melagrow, GA_3 and Cytokinin. That it may be explain by the role of these growth regulators in floral development and fruit setting which reflected on number of capitula plant⁻¹. Spraying Melagrow at 60 ppm recorded the highest number of capitula plant⁻¹ (26.4 and 25.0) in both seasons, respectively.




Fig. 1. Number of capitula/plant of safflower as affected by the interaction between growth regulators and its concentrations during 2012/13 and 2013/14 seasons.

The observed increase in petal yield plant⁻¹ by the interaction between growth regulators and concentration (Fig. 2) may be due to the increase in

ns = not significant and * = significant at probability of 0.05.

Hamza, M.

number of capitula plant⁻¹. The best petal yield plant⁻¹ (0.79 and 0.87 g) was obtained from Melagrow \times 60 ppm interaction in both seasons, respectively.




Fig. 2. Petal yield/plant (g) of safflower as affected by the interaction between growth regulators and its concentrations during 2012/13 and 2013/14 seasons.

Also, seed yield plant⁻¹ was affected significantly by the interaction between growth regulators and its concentration (Fig. 3). All of growth regulators \times concentration interaction recorded heavier seed weight plant⁻¹ than the control application but the heaviest seed weight plant⁻¹ was obtained by Melagrow \times 60 ppm followed by Melagrow \times 40 ppm interactions in both seasons. The observed increase in seed yield plant⁻¹ may be attributed to the enhanced translocation of assimilates from leaves to reproductive parts and to increases in number of capitula plant⁻¹.




Fig. 3. Seed yield/plant (g) of safflower as affected by the interaction between growth regulators and its concentrations during 2012/13 and 2013/14 seasons.

Figure (4) show that the highest seed yield fed⁻¹ was recorded via Melagrow \times 60 ppm interaction (1390.5 and 1305.2 kg) in both seasons, respectively with insignificant effect between Melagrow \times 60 ppm and Melagrow \times 40 ppm. Also, insignificant effect was observed between

gibberellic acid \times 40 and gibberellic acid \times 60 ppm in the second season. Meanwhile, clear decline was observed in seed yield fed⁻¹ with increasing concentration of cytokinin from 40 to 60 ppm in both seasons. Considerable increases in number of capitula plant⁻¹ and seed yield plant⁻¹ were reflected to seed yield fed⁻¹. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Roitsch and Ehness (2000), as well as, Ullah and Bano (2011).




Fig. 4. Seed yield/feddan (kg) of safflower as affected by the interaction between growth regulators and its concentrations during 2012/13 and 2013/14 seasons.

Figure (5) clear that a gradual increases in oil yield fed⁻¹ via increasing concentration from 0 up to 60 ppm of Melagrow and GA₃ except, Cytokinin which increase oil yield up to 40 ppm. The highest oil yield fed⁻¹ was recorded via Melagrow × 60 ppm interaction (433.8 and 421.6 kg) in both seasons, respectively. An insignificant effect of the interactions among Melagrow × 40 and 60 ppm, GA₃ × 40 and 60 ppm, as well as, cytokinin × 40 and 60 ppm in both seasons. Oil yield fed⁻¹ may be attributed to increases in seed yield fed⁻¹.




Fig. 5. Oil yield/feddan (kg) of safflower as affected by the interaction between growth regulators and its concentrations during 2012/13 and 2013/14 seasons.

CONCLUSION

The present research clearly demonstrated that three growth regulators and four concentrations have a major effect on petal, seed and oil yields, as well as, quality characters in safflower. It was concluded that growth regulators could be successfully employed for enhancement of safflower seed yield, directly or indirectly, through its components. Based on the findings, it is recommended the use of Melagrow four times, at 60 or 40 ppm for higher petal, seed and oil yields, as well as, carthamin%, carthamidin%, oleic acid% and oleic/linoleic ratio.

REFERENCES

- Akter, A.; E. Ali; M.M.Z. Islam; R. Karim and A.H.M. Razzaque (2007). Effect of GA₃ on growth and yield of mustard. International J. Sustain. Crop Prod., 2: 16-20.
- A.O.A.C. (2000). Official methods of analysis of A.O.A.C. International. 17th ed. by Horwitz, W. Suite (ed.) Vol. (2), chapter (41): 66-68.
- Attia, A.N.E.; M.A. Badawi, S.E. Seadh and S.M.S. El-Hety (2011). Response of growth and petal yield of safflower to sowing dates, nitrogen fertilizer, levels and times of foliar application with natural growth promoters "Melagrow". J. Plant Prod. Mansura Univ., 2(2): 1703-1716.
- Baydar, H. (2002). Effects of gibberellic acid treatment for pollen sterility induction on the physiological activity and endogenous hormone levels of the seed in safflower. Turk. J. Biol., 26: 235-239.
- Dholekar, P.H.; B.N. Patil and R.S. Shivankar (2001). Effect of foliar spray of different growth regulators on yield and yield attributes of safflower. Agric. Sci. Digest., 21(4): 241-243.
- FAO (1997). Compendium of Food Additive Specifications. Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. Addendum 52(5): 1-3.
- Farag, R.S.; S.A.S. Hallabo; F.M. Hewedi; and A.E. Basyony (1981). Chemical evaluation of rape seed. Fette Seifen Anstrichmittel, 88(10): 391-397.
- Freed, R.S.P.; S. Einensmith; S. Gutez; D. Reicosky; V.W. Smail and P. Wolberg (1989). MSTAT-C analysis of agronomic research experiments. Michigan Univ. East Lansing, USA.
- Gulluoglu, L. (2004). Determination of usage of plant growth regulators in soybean (*Glycine max* Merr) farming under Harran plain conditions. J. Fac. Agric., 8: 17-23.
- Gupta, N.K. and S. Gupta (2005). Growth regulators. Plant physiology. In Gupta N.K. and S. Gupta (eds.), Oxford and IBH Publishing, New Delhi, p. 286-349.
- Hameed, A.A. (2002). Note on the status of research on safflower cultivation in Sindhprovince of Pakistan. Sesame Safflower Newsl., 17: 76-77.
- Hamza, M. (2014). Stability analysis of seed and oil yields in safflower genotypes under divergent environments in Egypt. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 29(12B): 743-757.

- Khan, N.A.; H.R. Ansari and R. Samiullah (1998). Effect of gibberellic acid spray during ontogeny of mustard on growth, nutrients uptake and yield characteristics. J. Agron. Crop Sci., 181: 61-63.
- Khandagale, G.B.; G.S. Pawar and A.B. Shinde (2009). Influence of foliar spray of plant growth regulators on physiological parameter and yield in safflower. Ann. Plant Physiol., 23(1): 31-33.
- Mok, D.W.S. and M.C. Mok (1994). Cytokinins: chemistry, activity and function. CRC press Inc., Boca Raton.
- Prat, L.; C. Batti and T. Fichet (2008). Effect of plant growth regulators on floral differentiation and seed production in jojoba (*Simmondsia chinesis* Schneider). Indus. Crops Prod., 27: 44-49.
- Rijavec, T. and M. Dermastia (2010). Cytokinins and their function in developing seeds. Acta Chimica Slovenica, 57((3): 617-629.
- Roitsch, T. and R. Ehness (2000). Regulation of source/sink relations by cytokinins. Plant Growth Regul., 32: 359–367.
- Seadh, S.E.; A.N.E. Attia; M.A. Badawi, and S.M.S. El-Hety (2011). Response of seed yield and its components of safflower to sowing dates, nitrogen fertilizer, levels and times of foliar application with Milagrow. J. Biologic. Sci.,12(6): 342-348.
- Steel, R.G.D.; J.H. Torri and D.A. Dickey (1997). Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach, 3rd ed,. Mc Graw-Hill, New York. 666p.
- Ullah, F. and A. Bano (2011). Effect of plant growth regulators on oil yield and biodiesel production of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). Braz. J. Plant Physiol., 23(1): 27-31.
- Vogel, A.J. (1975). A Text Book of Practical Organic Chemistry. English Language Book Society and Longman Group Ltd. London, 3th ed., pp. 969-971.
- Weiss, E.A. (2000). Oilseed Crops, 2nd ed., Blackwell Science, Oxford, Ch., 4: 93-129.

تحسين محصول البتلات، البذرة والزيت في القرطم باستخدام الميلاجرو، حامض الجبر ايلليك والسيتوكينين محمد حمزة قسم المحاصيل-كلية الزراعة-جامعة القاهرة

يعتبر محصول البذرة من القرطم بشكل عام قليل مقارنة بمحاصيل البذور الزيتية الأخري. ولهذا السبب من الضروري العمل على زيادة المحصول. هناك ندرة في المعلومات المتاحة عن تأثير رش منظمات النمو وتركيز ها الأمثل على نمو ومحصول القرطم. لذلك أجريت تجربتان حقليتان بمحطة التجارب الصحراوية بوادي النطرون التابعة لكلية الزراعة، جامعة القاهرة، خلال الموسيمين ٢٠١٣/٢٠١٢ و٢٠١٤/٢٠١٣ لدراسة تحسين محصول القرطم ومكوناته للرش بثلاثة منظمات للنمو هي الميلاجرو، حامض الجبر يلليك والسيتوكينين وكذلك تحديد التركيز المناسب لكل منهم. حيث تم استخدام ثلاثة تركيز ات مختلفة من كل منظم نمو هي ٢٠، ٤٠ و ٦٠ جزء في المليون بالاضافة الى معاملة الكنترول الرش بالماء المقطر استخدام تصميم القطع المنشقة حيث وضمعت منظمات النمو في القطع الرئيسية وتركيز اتها في القطع المنشقة. اظهرت النتائج تأثر جميع الصفات تحت الدراسة مُعنوياً بمُنظمات النمو فيما عدا دليل البَّذور ونسبة الزيت بالبذرة. وقد أعطَّت معاملة الـرش بمحفـز النمـو ميلاجـرو أعلـي قـيم لكـل الصـفات المدروسـة مقارنـة بحـامض الجبريلايـك والسيتوكينين فيما عدا طول النبات ونسبة الحامض الدهني لينوليك. حققت زيادة تركيز منظمات النمو من المستوى صفر إلى ٦٠ جزء في المليون زيادة معنوية في وزن بتلات النبات بنسبة ٤٨.٣٪ و ٢٧.١٪، محصول البذرة/فدان بنسبة ٢٦.٤٪ و٣.٢١٪ ومحصول الزيت/فدان بنسبة ٢٩.٤٪ و ٢٧. في الموسم الأول والثاني على التوالي. زادت صفات الجودة بشكل معنوي من حيث: نسبة القارطامين، نسبة القارطاميدين، نسبة الاوليك ونسبة الاوليك الي اللينوليك وذلك من خلال زيادة مستويات منظمات النمو في كلا الموسيمين. كما تم الحصول على أعلى القيم من هذه الصفات عند تركيز ٦٠ جزء في المليون. كان النفاعل معنوياً بين منظمات النمو وتركيز اتها لكل من عدد الرؤس الز هرية، وزن بتلات النبات، وزن بذور النبات، محصولي البذرة والزيت للفدان في كلا الموسمين. توصى هذه الدراسة برش صنف القرطم جيزة-١ بمنشط النمو ميلاجرو بتركيز ٤٠ أو ٦٠ جزء في المليون ٤ مرات للحصول على أعلى عائد من المحصول وصفات الجودة.

Table 2. Means of some safflower characters as affected by three growth regulators during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.

Plant height (cm)	Branches plant ⁻¹ (no)	Capitula plant ⁻¹ (no)	Petal yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	Seed index (g)	Seed yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	Seed yield fed ⁻¹ (kg)	Seed oil (%)	Oil yield fed ⁻¹ (kg)	Carth- amin (%)	Carth- amidin (%)	Oleic (%)	Linoleic (%)	Oleic/ Linoleic ratio
						2012/13	season						
130.3b	9.5a	24.6a	0.78a	5.6a	36.9a	1007.8a	30.8a	310.4a	0.020a	0.32a	20.8a	28.4b	0.73a
134.0a	6.5b	20.3b	0.69b	5.3a	25.4b	900.7b	30.7a	276.4b	0.014b	0.29b	15.9b	30.2a	0.53b
126.4c	4.5c	15.0c	0.56c	5.2a	18.6c	799.2c	30.7a	245.4c	0.011c	0.24c	14.0b	26.2b	0.53b
						2013/14	season						
129.0 b	9.4a	20.3a	0.70a	4.2a	30.0a	960.2a	31.8a	305.3a	0.020a	0.37a	22.1a	28.9b	0.76a
132.6a	7.0b	16.2b	0.63b	4.1a	18.7b	880.9b	31.7a	279.2b	0.016b	0.32b	18.9b	31.6a	0.60b
126.1c	5.4c	10.0c	0.52c	4.0a	16.3c	823.4c	31.2a	256.9c	0.013c	0.30c	18.7b	26.0b	0.72a
	height (cm) 130.3b 134.0a 126.4c 129.0 b 132.6a	height (cm) plant ⁻¹ (no) 130.3b 9.5a 134.0a 6.5b 126.4c 4.5c 129.0 b 9.4a 132.6a 7.0b	height (cm) plant ⁻¹ (no) plant ⁻¹ (no) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b	Plant height (cm) Branches plant ⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant ⁻¹ (no) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b	Plant height (cm) Branches plant ⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant ⁻¹ (no) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) Seed index (g) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a	Plant Branches Capitula yield Seed yield plant ¹ plant ¹ plant ¹ glant ¹ yield plant ¹ glant ¹ <td>Plant height (cm) Branches plant⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant⁻¹ (no) yield plant⁻¹ (g) Seed index (g) yield plant⁻¹ (g) yield fed⁻¹ (g) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 2013/14 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b</td> <td>Plant height (cm) Branches plant⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant⁻¹ (no) yield plant⁻¹ (g) Seed index (g) yield plant⁻¹ (g) yield plant⁻¹ (g) Seed plant⁻¹ (g) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 31.8a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a</td> <td>Plant height (cm) Branches plant⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant⁻¹ (no) yield plant⁻¹ (g) Seed (ndex (g) yield plant⁻¹ (g) Seed plant⁻¹ (g) Vield fed⁻¹ (g) Seed oil (%) Oil yield fed⁻¹ (kg) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 310.4a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 276.4b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 245.4c 2013/14 season 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 31.8a 305.3a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a 279.2b</td> <td>Plant height (cm) Branches plant¹ (no) Capitula plant¹ (no) yield plant¹ (g) Seed plant¹ (g) yield plant¹ (g) yield plant¹ (g) Seed plant¹ (g) Oil fed¹ Carth- amin (%) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 310.4a 0.020a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 276.4b 0.014b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 245.4c 0.011c 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 31.8a 305.3a 0.020a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a 279.2b 0.016b</td> <td>Plant height (m) Branches plant¹ (no) Capitula plant¹ (no) yield plant¹ (g) yield plant¹ (g) yield plant¹ (g) yield plant¹ (g) Seed plant¹ (g) Oll yield fed⁻¹ (kg) Carth- amidin (%) Carth- amidin (%) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 310.4a 0.020a 0.32a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 276.4b 0.014b 0.29b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 245.4c 0.011c 0.24c 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 31.8a 305.3a 0.020a 0.37a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a 279.2b 0.016b 0.32b</td> <td>Plant height (m) Branches plant⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant⁻¹ (no) yield plant⁻¹ (g) Seed plant⁻¹ (g) yield plant⁻¹ (g) Seed plant⁻¹ (g) Oil yield fed⁻¹ (kg) Carth- min (%) Carth- amidin (%) Oleic (%) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 310.4a 0.020a 0.32a 20.8a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 276.4b 0.014b 0.29b 15.9b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 245.4c 0.011c 0.24c 14.0b 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 31.8a 305.3a 0.020a 0.37a 22.1a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a 279.2b 0.016b 0.32b 18.9b</td> <td>Plant height (m) Branches plant⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant⁻¹ (no) yield plant⁻¹ (g) yield plant⁻¹ (g) yield plant⁻¹ (g) Seed plant⁻¹ (kg) Oli fed⁻¹ (kg) Carth- midin fed⁻¹ (kg) Carth- amidin (%) Oleic (%) Linoleic (%) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 310.4a 0.020a 0.32a 20.8a 28.4b 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 276.4b 0.014b 0.29b 15.9b 30.2a 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 245.4c 0.011c 0.24c 14.0b 26.2b 2013/14 season 2013/14 season 2013/14 305.3a 0.020a 0.37a 22.1a 28.9b 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a 279.2b 0.016b 0.32b 18.9b 31.6a</td>	Plant height (cm) Branches plant ⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant ⁻¹ (no) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) Seed index (g) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) yield fed ⁻¹ (g) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 2013/14 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b	Plant height (cm) Branches plant ⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant ⁻¹ (no) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) Seed index (g) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) Seed plant ⁻¹ (g) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 31.8a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a	Plant height (cm) Branches plant ⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant ⁻¹ (no) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) Seed (ndex (g) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) Seed plant ⁻¹ (g) Vield fed ⁻¹ (g) Seed oil (%) Oil yield fed ⁻¹ (kg) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 310.4a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 276.4b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 245.4c 2013/14 season 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 31.8a 305.3a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a 279.2b	Plant height (cm) Branches plant ¹ (no) Capitula plant ¹ (no) yield plant ¹ (g) Seed plant ¹ (g) yield plant ¹ (g) yield plant ¹ (g) Seed plant ¹ (g) Oil fed ¹ Carth- amin (%) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 310.4a 0.020a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 276.4b 0.014b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 245.4c 0.011c 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 31.8a 305.3a 0.020a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a 279.2b 0.016b	Plant height (m) Branches plant ¹ (no) Capitula plant ¹ (no) yield plant ¹ (g) yield plant ¹ (g) yield plant ¹ (g) yield plant ¹ (g) Seed plant ¹ (g) Oll yield fed ⁻¹ (kg) Carth- amidin (%) Carth- amidin (%) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 310.4a 0.020a 0.32a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 276.4b 0.014b 0.29b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 245.4c 0.011c 0.24c 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 31.8a 305.3a 0.020a 0.37a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a 279.2b 0.016b 0.32b	Plant height (m) Branches plant ⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant ⁻¹ (no) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) Seed plant ⁻¹ (g) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) Seed plant ⁻¹ (g) Oil yield fed ⁻¹ (kg) Carth- min (%) Carth- amidin (%) Oleic (%) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 310.4a 0.020a 0.32a 20.8a 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 276.4b 0.014b 0.29b 15.9b 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 245.4c 0.011c 0.24c 14.0b 129.0 b 9.4a 20.3a 0.70a 4.2a 30.0a 960.2a 31.8a 305.3a 0.020a 0.37a 22.1a 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a 279.2b 0.016b 0.32b 18.9b	Plant height (m) Branches plant ⁻¹ (no) Capitula plant ⁻¹ (no) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) yield plant ⁻¹ (g) Seed plant ⁻¹ (kg) Oli fed ⁻¹ (kg) Carth- midin fed ⁻¹ (kg) Carth- amidin (%) Oleic (%) Linoleic (%) 130.3b 9.5a 24.6a 0.78a 5.6a 36.9a 1007.8a 30.8a 310.4a 0.020a 0.32a 20.8a 28.4b 134.0a 6.5b 20.3b 0.69b 5.3a 25.4b 900.7b 30.7a 276.4b 0.014b 0.29b 15.9b 30.2a 126.4c 4.5c 15.0c 0.56c 5.2a 18.6c 799.2c 30.7a 245.4c 0.011c 0.24c 14.0b 26.2b 2013/14 season 2013/14 season 2013/14 305.3a 0.020a 0.37a 22.1a 28.9b 132.6a 7.0b 16.2b 0.63b 4.1a 18.7b 880.9b 31.7a 279.2b 0.016b 0.32b 18.9b 31.6a

Means followed by the same letter within columns are not significantly different at p = 0.05 according to least significant difference test.

Table 3. Means of some safflower characters as affected by four concentrations of growth regulators during 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 seasons.

Concent- ration, ppm (C)	Plant height (cm)	Branches plant ⁻¹ (no)	Capitula plant ⁻¹ (no)	Petal yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	Seed index (g)	Seed yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	Seed yield fed ¹ (kg)	Seed oil (%)	Oil yield fed ⁻¹ (kg)	Carthamin (%)	Carthamidin (%)	Oleic (%)	Linoleic (%)	Oleic/ Linoleic ratio
						201	12/13 seas	on						
Control	100.2d	9.0a	11.8c	0.60d	5.0bc	14.2d	870.3c	29.5a	256.7d	0.012c	0.17d	10.6c	28.7a	0.37c
20	134.5c	9.4a	15.9b	0.70c	5.2b	28.8c	920.5b	29.8a	274.3c	0.013bc	0.19c	15.8b	25.9b	0.61c
40	140.0b	9.4a	20.6a	0.78b	5.4b	32.8b	1056.1b	30.1a	317.9b	0.014b	0.21b	20.4a	18.8c	1.09b
60	144.6a	9.5a	21.9a	0.89a	5.9a	36.2a	1100.0a	30.2a	332.2a	0.016a	0.24a	22.6a	14.9d	1.52a
						201	13/14 seas	on						
Control	90.9d	6.9a	10.4c	0.62d	4.1b	16.6d	750.3d	31.3a	234.8d	0.011cd	0.28d	14.5d	30.1a	0.48c
20	130.3c	7.1a	14.1b	0.67c	4.1b	20.3c	834.2c	31.9a	266.1c	0.012c	0.30c	18.6c	25.9b	0.72c
40	145.4b	7.1a	16.6b	0.81b	4.1b	24.5b	870.9b	32.2a	280.4b	0.014b	0.32b	22.3b	20.6c	1.08b
60	150.5a	7.2a	18.9a	0.85a	4.7a	27.9a	910.1a	32.9a	299.4a	0.016a	0.37a	25.8a	16.5d	1.56a

Means followed by the same letter within columns are not significantly different at p = 0.05 according to least significant difference test.